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The article, “Victims No More,” written by John L. Allen Jr. questions whether the pharmaceutical company: *Bayer* used information from the experiments during the Holocaust and whether that was a righteous thing to do. This source was derived from the database: SIRS by using the keywords: *Freezing Experiment\* Holocaust.* The article begins and explains the lawsuit a Nazi victim (Kor) implemented against the pharmaceutical company, *Bayer*, then it recalls the background of Eva Kor, a twin victim of the Holocaust, later the writing mentions *Bayer’s* defense and explanation to why the charges should be dismissed, it also discloses why the judicial department may be unable to grant Kor right to sue and dismiss the case, then it points out other lawsuits similar to *Bayer v Kor* and reasons why the victims have not been successful in winning the cases, and tells Kor’s intentions if she won the case and got the money she claimed to sue *Bayer* for. Within the middle of the article, a few experiments conducted during the Holocaust are elaborated on including the hypothermia experiments. First, the article lists the core purpose to the freezing experiments which was to learn how to take care of war wounded soldiers suffering from the cold. The article next lists another purpose of the experiments talking about how doctors could learn how to aid pilots that had crashed planes and were suffering from the cold. Finally, a large main idea that can be related to the hypothermia experiments and their purpose is incorporated throughout almost all of the article. This main idea was that the purpose of freezing experiments was to help companies like *Bayer* develop medicines for the common person. The article suggests a memory medicine made by *Bayer* was manufactured with the help of data from Nazi medical experiments.

The database listed the purposes of hypothermia experiments in terms of the German war effort at the WWII time (explained in the previous paragraph). The article lists two main purposes: to help downed pilots and, two,to help general ground soldiers cope with the cold. Thirdly, the article also explains another purpose that the hypothermia experiments served that can still be related to today's medicines. The more current impact the Nazi experiments served were intended (the experiments wanted to further medicine) but may not have been pinpointed to what specific medicines the experiments would impact before the experiments were conducted. One piece of information that the resource left out which would further answer my question was listing why the company connected to *Bayer* was involved with Nazi experiments and what their exact intentions were. That information may be hard to find because the company may not want to admit that, but it would help answer my question. Moreover, the website mentioned that there were other companies that benefited from Nazi experiments but failed to elaborate what information they used from the experiments or what data they took from the experiments to help improve a certain product. If the website listed what product(s) the experiments improved, that would further answer my question. When analyzing the website, very little bias is present because when the article mentions the lawsuit, it lists details about each opposing side of the lawsuit and details that each side used to support itself. This shows strong objectivity and makes the sight appear beneficial to use. As well as objectivity, the article appears to have authority because it is listed under a database. Databases are known for, the majority of the time, having editors that go through the information to verify it supporting that all information in the article is true which is encouraging when using this source. Lastly, this articles currency is questionable. It hasn’t been updated since 1999. It would be a better resource if the information was updated more recently to address if anything more current had influenced part of it or a law had been altered. Although this is beneficial, because the Holocaust happened so long ago, it is okay to utilize works published in the ‘90’s because information like the purpose of the experiments will not change.